

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/064,686          | 08/07/2002      | Minh Trac Trinh      | 201-0752 GAS            | 9305                    |  |
| 32242 7             | 590 09/10/2003  |                      |                         |                         |  |
| DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| SUITE 100           | ENHOWER PARKWAY |                      | SICONOLFI, ROBERT       |                         |  |
| ANN ARBUR,          | , MI 48108-3306 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                     |                 |                      | 3683                    |                         |  |
|                     |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Application No.               | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| p. 00  | 10/064,686                    | TRINH, MINH TRAC                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner                      | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Robert A. Siconolfi           | 3683   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum studyory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  1) Responsive to communication (a) filed on  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is FINAL.</li> </ul>   | —·<br>is action is non-final. |  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i>   |                               | rosecution as to the merits is                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10-12,14-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 2-5,8,9,13 and 17 is/are objected to.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal         | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/064,686

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Information Disclosure Statement filed on has been received.

### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the biasing spring must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 states that the pin is coupled to the rotor. The examiner is unclear how this is possible.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/064,686

Art Unit: 3683

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 10-12, 14, 16,18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0953774 which has the English equivalent of U.S. Patent no. 6,318,513.

Wedge 18, motor 32, gearing 34,36,pin 30. The motor rotates the carrier ring (thus rotating the wedges) to adjust the level of braking and self-energization force.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7,15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0953774.

EP 0953774 is relied upon as above. EP 0953774 does not disclose a biasing spring. The examiner takes official notice that biasing springs are well known.

9. Claims 2-5, 8,9, 13, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Robert A. Siconolfi

Examiner Art Unit 3683